United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,940	06/15/2006	Toru Moriguchi	292106US0PCT 9811	
22850 7590 09/17/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			MI, QIUWEN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1655		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/582,940	MORIGUCHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Qiuwen Mi	1655			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.	•			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·	·			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
٠٠,۵	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
-	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
-//	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
•	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio					
	application from the International Bureau	•	3			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attach	*(c)		·			
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application			
Раре	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to a fat composition.

Group II, claim(s) 12 and 13, drawn to a method for improving central nervous function which comprises administering a fat composition.

Group III, claim(s) 14 and 15, drawn to a method of preparing the fat composition.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As Gil et al teach a high fat nutritional formula (see Title) based on soy oil or linseed oil, which possesses a low ratio of linoleic acid (18:2n6) fatty acid to alpha-linolenic acid (18:3n3), increases the content of eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n3) present in the phospholipids but not the docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n3) content., therefore, there is <u>no</u> special technical feature in the application. Accordingly the groups are <u>not</u> so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1., and therefore lack of unity of invention exists.

Art Unit: 1655

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species groups of the generic invention. These species groups are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species groups are as follows:

- 1. The many different phospholipid in claim 2.
- 2. The many different plants in claim 3.
- 3. The many different compounds or crude drugs in claim 6.
- 4. The many different n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in claims 10-15.

Art Unit: 1655

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from each species group (e.g., one species from species group 1, one from species group 2, etc.) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species from each species group, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QIUWEN MI whose telephone number is 571-272-5984. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday: 8: 30 am to 5: 00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,940 Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TERRY MCKELVEY can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Qiuwen Mi

/Patricia Leith/ Patricia Leith Primary Examiner AU 1655